

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,533	08/31/2001	Howard M. Marks	KONAMI01-07	8528
75	590 12/20/2002			
Anderson & Morishita, L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
2725 S Jones Blvd Suite 102		WHITE, CA	ARMEN D	
Las Vegas, NV	89146		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	V
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/944,533	MARKS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carmen D. White	3714
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA* - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica* - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dated if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI	(30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on	
	☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for		ers prosecution as to the merite is
closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21 (after renumbering)</u> is/are	o nonding in the analization	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	midrawn nom consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.		
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement	
Application Papers	and/or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) dis	approved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	d in reply to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been received in App	olication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation* See the attached detailed Office action for	ial Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do		
a) 🗌 The translation of the foreign languag	ge provisional application has beer	n received.
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do Attachment(s)	imesuc priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	3 120 and/or 121.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗖 1-41	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N 	8) 5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offi	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

Application/Control Number: 09/944,533

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

This application has been filed with informal drawings, which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Objections

Claims 6-22 are objected to because they are misnumbered. Applicant skipped claim #5. For examination purposes only, clams 6-22 have been renumbered 5-21, respectively. The action below is based on the examiner's renumbering of the claims. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-13 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1-13, the claims recite "the activation of any coordinate ignored for the purposes of determining winning or losing game symbol combinations". This claim language is not clear enough for the examiner to ascertain the scope of the claim. The examiner is interpreting this feature, below, as ignoring the gaming symbols that are not a part of a symbol combination for a winning hand. Further in the comparing step, see lines 10-11 of claim 1- what are the symbols compared to? Also, the last

Application/Control Number: 09/944,533

Art Unit: 3714

limitation "said processor configured to maintain any activated coordinates active through said successive hands until said activated coordinates are triggered" is not clear. Should this be until the bonus symbols are triggered?

Claims 19-21 contain similar language in lines 15-17 of claim 19, regarding the comparing feature. This language is not clear as explained above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 7, 10-11, 14-15, 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Mattice* et al (6,454,649).

Regarding claims 1-5, 7, 10-11, 14-15, 18 and 21, Mattice teaches an electronic apparatus for playing a casino game that comprises a display; a data structure storing data corresponding to the display of the game symbols; a processor to control the display and an input device to prompt the processor for each play of successive hands, when prompted said process configured to randomly select and assign game symbol data from the data structure to each coordinate in a displayed x by y game matrix to display said corresponding symbol; said processor configured to randomly select 0-N coordinates for activation; a processor configured to compare the selected game

Application/Control Number: 09/944,533

Art Unit: 3714

symbols to winning combination to determine a winner or loser combination; a processor to issue an award; a bonus game with an award is played when there is a triggering symbol; and processor to maintain any active coordinates for the hands, until the bonus symbols are triggered (this is how the examiner is interpreting this last feature of claim 1)- See Fig. 3; Fig. 8; Fig. 9 and col. 4, lines 35-67 through col. 5, lines 1-13). Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 8-9, 12-13, 16-17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattice et al.

Regarding claims 6, 8-9, 16, 19, Mattice teaches all the limitations of the claims as discussed above. Mattice is silent on the feature of having different award values for different trigger symbols. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include this feature in Mattice to provide added incentive for the player to continue play of the game in order to obtain higher bonus amounts.

Regarding claims 12-13, 17, 20, Mattice teaches all the limitations of the claims as discussed above. Mattice is silent regarding the feature of a multiplier. However the examiner takes official notice that the use of multipliers are well known in slot gaming. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to include multipliers in Mattice to increase the incentive to play due to higher payouts.

Pertinent Prior Art

The following references are pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Wood et al, Holmes Jr. et al and Yoseloff.

USPTO Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carmen D. White whose telephone number is 703-308-5275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7768 for regular communications and 703-305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1078.

C. White

Patent Examiner